Document Code - DISQ	10/772,237 B		ument - DO NOT MAIL						
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER	APPROV	ED	□ DISAPPROVED						
Date Filed : August 16, 2005	to a T	nt is subject erminal laimer	·						
Approved/Disapproved by:									
Henry D. Jefferson									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

MATURITY	DATE:		<u>16-Aug-05</u>	APPL. S.N.:	10/772,237					
### PROME PARALEGAL SPECIALIST PARALEGAL SPECIALIST PARALEGAL SPECIALIST PARALEGAL SPECIALIST Decision on Terminal Disclaimer (T.D.) filed: Decision Paralega Paralega		AMINE	R NGUYEN, STEVEN H.D.	ART UNIT:	<u>2665</u>	Case Drop-Off Locatic				
NSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any paragraphs are not provided to the T.D. Is provided to the T.D. Is provided and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. ✓ The T.D. Is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see ¶ 14.24): ☐ The T.D. Is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see ¶ 14.24): ☐ The T.D. Is not proper and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see ¶ 14.24): ☐ The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patient (see ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.01). ☐ The T.D. Is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable tince "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entities patient to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02). ☐ The person who signed the T.D.: ☐ Is not an alterney "of record" (see ¶ 14.29 and 14.29 ol). ☐ In the T.D. is not specified as not granted from the original inventor(s) to assigne has been submitted, nor is the real and frame number specified in the specific and such such evidence for the assignee (see ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). ☐ The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). ☐ The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenti			Jefferson, Henry	RETURN	N THIS MEMO TO:					
NNSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set torth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to suity applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to suity applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to suity applicant of the T.D. If You disagree or have any paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to suit in the T.D. If You disagree or have any paragraphs identified by the Young and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. ✓ The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see ¶14.23). ☐ The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account (see ¶14.26.07). ☐ The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not sated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see ¶ 14.26.01). ☐ The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection. Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01). ☐ The T.D. Is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be stranted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶ 14.26.4.14.26.02). ☐ The T.D. Is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be stranted "(MPEP 1490) (see ¶ 14.29.4.26.4.14.26.02). ☐ has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.29.0.2). ☐ has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.29.0.2). ☐ has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the during the result of			PARALEGAL SPECIALIST			UZ. 2500				
paragraghs) fedinated by our the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERIOR TO THE SPECIAL PROGRAM (CARD IN THE APPLICATION FILE.) When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICANT ON [2) PLACE OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICANT ON [2] PLACE OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICANT ON [2] PLACE OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICANT ON [2] PLACE OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICANT ON [2] PLACE OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICANT ON [2] PLACE OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date of the application of the extent of the business of the date of the action of the extent of the particular claims, which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patient of the specific mailed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see § § 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: Is not an autorney "of record" (see § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § §	-		Decision on Terminal Disclaimer (1.8.) most							
The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see ¶ 14.24): The TD fee of	paragraphs identified by this filtrogram Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERIOR to the special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERIOR action is complete, please initial, date questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERIOR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date									
The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposition of the (see § 14.26.07). The TD, does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see § § 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection. Rule 321(b) (see § 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see § § 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see § § 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see § 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see § § 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see § 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see § § 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see § § 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see § § 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).										
The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposition of the (see § 14.26.07). The TD, does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see § § 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection. Rule 321(b) (see § 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see § § 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see § § 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see § 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see § § 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see § 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see § § 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see § § 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see § § 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).	The property and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see ¶ 14.24):									
The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of interest (and/or the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the antire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see ¶ 7.3.73(b) and 1140 O.C. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. of in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.26.14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.26.14.27.02 or 14.26.05).				ny authorization in the application fi	ile for the use of a depos	it account				
interest of the business entity (epic.chico) during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entity patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an autorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see §¶ 7.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. of in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.26. 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).				ad the T.D. has not stated the extent	t of his/her interest (and/	or the extent of the				
The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection. Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specified on the manumber may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).			incomet of the hisiness ellilly indicating of the significant	• •						
The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶ ¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶ ¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).			The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see § 14.27.01).							
is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).			The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02).							
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶ ¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).			The person who signed the T.D.:							
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶ ¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).										
No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).				ty (see ¶ 14.28).						
specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see ¶ ¶ 14.30). The specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).										
The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).			No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number							
(see ¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).										
(see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).			The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) (see § 14.32).	which forms the basis for the doub	ole patenting rejection is	missing or incorrect				
			The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).							
Other:			The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see § § 14.26.	, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).						
			Other:							
Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.										
I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.										
Ex. Initials: Date: Log Date:	E.	Initials	Date: 10/6/65		Lo	g Date:				
Special Program Database, Version 2.1 (Rev. 5/98) Routing Slip Printed On: Tuesday, August 16, 2005 10:07:31 AM				Routing Slip Printer	d On: Tuesday, A	ugust 16, 2005 10:07:31 AM				